

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DOC 20241 www.dispto.gov

PRI ICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,509	02/12/2001	Eugene Lukanıdin	12754A	6832
7590 04/23/2002 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
			ANDRES, JANET L	
Garden City, N	1 11330		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/200	2 —

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>'</u> :		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	,	09/781,509	LUKANIDIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Janet L Andres	1646			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rec	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (course the application to become	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. OME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	71110 4011077 10 1 11 11 12 12	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims	annlication				
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
		WIT HOTH CONSIDERATE	•••			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
i i	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or election require	ament			
	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-25</u> are subject to restriction a on Papers	illa/or election require	sment.			
1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🗆	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
		and priority under 33	0.0.0. 33 120 2			
Attachmen		4) 🗍 Ir	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 4-8 and 10-13, drawn to Mts protein multimers and pharmaceutical compositions thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 350 and class 514, subclass
 2.
- II. Claims 14, 15, and 19-25, drawn to methods of treatment using Mts protein multimers, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 16-23, drawn to methods of gene therapy, classified in class 435, subclass 455.

Claims 19-23 appear in two groups because they depend from multiple claims and encompass different inventions.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the proteins can be used in a materially different process, such as the generation of antibodies.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions I and III are not related. The protein multimers of Invention I cannot be used in the gene therapy methods of Invention III.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Invention II have other uses, such as hybridization studies.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for the different groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For Inventions II and III, the species are:

- a) Parkinson's disease
- b) Alzheimer's disease
- c) Down's syndrome

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

d) stroke
e) cardiac arrest

f) sciatic crush

g) spinal cord injury

h) injury to sensory neurons

i) degenerative disease of the retina.

These are each different conditions with different etiologies involving different cells and having different characteristics. Successful treatment of one would not render successful treatment of another obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. April 15, 2002

VONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 180